# (All-Fiber) Coherent Detection Lidars 2

Cyrus F Abari Advanced Study Program Postdoc, NCAR, Boulder, CO

Date: 03-09-2016



development • deployment • data services • discovery



#### • <u>Reminder</u>

- Signal modeling, CW CDLs
- Direct detection vs. coherent detection
- image-reject coherent homodyne detection
- Spectral processing and lab prototype

#### <u>CW CDL, continued</u>

- Measurement field campaign
- Dual polarization (polarization diversity) CW CDL

#### Pulsed (long-range) CDLs

- Signal modeling
- Pulsed CDL vs. radar
- Signal processing in pulsed CDL
- Practical considerations
- Dual polarization pulsed CDL

#### <u>Conclusion</u>





# Table of contents:

#### <u>Reminder</u>

- Signal modeling, CW CDLs
- Direct detection vs. coherent detection
- image-reject coherent homodyne detection
- Spectral processing and lab prototype

### • <u>CW CDL, continued</u>

- Measurement field campaign
- Dual polarization (polarization diversity) CW CDL

#### Pulsed (long-range) CDLs

- Signal modeling
- Pulsed CDL vs. radar
- Signal processing in pulsed CDL
- Practical considerations
- Dual polarization pulsed CDL

#### <u>Conclusion</u>





The transmit and receive signal may be modeled as,

$$E(t) = \sqrt{2P} \cos\left[2\pi f_c t + \theta(t)\right] + L_R(t)$$
$$r(t) = k\sqrt{2P} \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \alpha_l \cos\left[2\pi \left(f_c \pm \Delta f_l\right)t + \theta(t) + \phi_l\right]$$

- k is a proportionality constant
- $-f_c$  is the laser frequency (carrier frequency)
- $\theta(t)$  is the laser phase noise described by a Weiner process (Lorentzian spectral shape)
- $L_R(t)$  is the laser relative intensity noise (RIN) having a peak at the relaxation oscillation frequency
- $\alpha_l$ s are backscatter coefficients associated with aerosol particles (independent Gaussian rvs)
- $\Delta f_l$  is the Doppler shift associated with the movement of the *l*th particle ( $\Delta f_l$ s are independent Gaussian rvs)
- $\phi_l$  is the phase factor associated with the *l*th particle, modeled as a uniformly distributed rv
- all rvs are independant





Remind

➢ For simplicity and without lack of generality lets adopt a receive signal model associated with the reflection from a single moving hard target where the effect of phase noise has been neglected.

$$r(t) = k\sqrt{2P}\alpha\cos\left[2\pi\left(f_c + \Delta f\right)t + \phi\right]$$

≻If somehow a CTFT could be carried out on the optical received signal







# Why coherent detection (heterodyning)?

The optical signal needs to be converted into an electrical current for further processing -> a photodiode (square-law detector is used).

> Due to numerous advantages digital signal processing (DSP) algorithms provide, the received signal needs to be digitized for estimating the Doppler shift.







Reminde

# CW CDL, Image-Reject Homodyne



➤To resolve the sign ambiguity one may use a concept popular in wireless communications called homodyne image-reject receivers, also known as homodyne with complex mixing, I/Q or quadrature detection.

➢It can be shown that by mixing the received signal once with the local oscillator and once with its phase shifted replica (90 degree), the sign ambiguity can be resolved.







➤To eliminate/suppress the noise sources present in the signal, a cross-correlation approach can be employed.

The cross-correlation benefits from the fact that the noise sources in in-phase and quadrature-phase paths are independent.

➤The information is ideally contained in the imaginary part of the cross-spectra unless there is a significant gain/phase imbalance.







# CW CDL, Image-Reject Homodyne Prototype



C. F. Abari, A. T. Pedersson, and J. Mann, "An all-fiber image-reject homodyne coherent Doppler wind lidar", Optics Express, vol. 22, no. 21, pp. 25 880-25 894, 2014.







# Homodyne receivers, complex-mixing







# Table of contents:

#### • <u>Reminder</u>

- Signal modeling, CW CDLs
- Direct detection vs. coherent detection
- image-reject coherent homodyne detection
- Spectral processing and lab prototype

# • <u>CW CDL, continued</u>

- Measurement field campaign
- Dual polarization (polarization diversity) CW CDL

### • Pulsed (long-range) CDLs

- Signal modeling
- Pulsed CDL vs. radar
- Signal processing in pulsed CDL
- Practical considerations, optical circulator
- Dual polarization pulsed CDL

## <u>Conclusion</u>





# CW CDL, Image-Reject Homodyne, Field Campaign









C. F. Abari, A. T. Pedersson, E. Dellwik, and J. Mann, "Performance evaluation of an all-fiber image-reject homodyne coherent Doppler wind lidar," in Atmos. Meas. Tech., vol. 8, pp. 3729-3752, 2015.





# Dual pol. image-reject homodyne, CW CDL

#### Main motivation: the detection of depolarized backscatter







# Dual pol. image-reject homodyne, CW CDL

Backscatter Coef. Estimation, Single-Polarization Coherent Doppler

#### **Applications/Advantages:**

SNR improvement

o Identification of clouds, ash and smoke plumes, etc.

o Spurious cloud removal in a processed spectra

Accurate estimation of backscatter coefficient

Lidar How accurate? Distance [km] 200 400 600 800 1000 1200 1400 5.0 10000 OF: 0 OF: 1 OF: 4 OF: 5 OF: 2 OF: 3 4.5 8000 4.03.5 Altitude[m] 6000 \_mM] 2.5 4000 2.0 1.5 DML DML 0.1 1.5 2000 0.5 0.0 00:05 00:15 00:25 00:35 00:45 00:55 01.05 01.1501 01 01:55 23:55 UTC Time [HH:MM] (Courtesy of German DLR, pulsed CDL)







# Table of contents:

#### • <u>Reminder</u>

- Signal modeling, CW CDLs
- Direct detection vs. coherent detection
- image-reject coherent homodyne detection
- Spectral processing and lab prototype

## • <u>CW CDL, continued</u>

- Measurement field campaign
- Dual polarization (polarization diversity) CW CDL

## • Pulsed (long-range) CDLs

- Signal modeling
- Pulsed CDL vs. radar
- Signal processing in pulsed CDL
- Practical considerations
- Dual polarization pulsed CDL

## <u>Conclusion</u>





The transmit/receive signal associated with backscatter from one single particle may be modeled as,

$$E(t) = \sqrt{2P_s} s(t) \cos\left[2\pi f_c t + \theta(t)\right] + L_R(t)$$
  

$$r(t) = \alpha \sqrt{2P_s} s(t - t_0) \cos\left[2\pi \left(f_c \pm \Delta f\right)t + \theta(t) + \phi\right]$$
  

$$s(t) = u(t) - u(t - T)$$

 $-f_c$  is the laser frequency (carrier frequency)

-  $\theta(t)$  is the laser phase noise described by a Weiner process (Lorentzian spectral shape)

-  $L_R(t)$  is the laser relative intensity noise (RIN) having a peak at the relaxation oscillation frequency

- $\alpha$  is the net optical attenuation for one single particle
- $\Delta f$  is the Doppler shift associated with the movement of the particle
- $\phi$  is the phase factor
- $P_s$  is the transmit signal power
- s(t) is the normalized pulse shape, ie,  $p_s = 1$
- $t_0$  is the time shift associated with the particle distance from the lidar





If somehow a CTFT could be carried out on the received optical signal







# **Pulsed CDL signal modeling**

The transmit and receive signal (for a detector responsivity of 1) may be modeled as,



For a single particle:

$$\begin{split} i_{D}(t) \propto |r(t)|^{2} &= L_{o}(t)^{2} + s(t)^{2} + 2L_{o}(t)s(t) + \text{noise and} \\ 2L_{o}(t)s(t) &= 4p_{LO}p_{s}\alpha s(t)\cos\left[2\pi(f_{c} + \Delta f)t + \phi\right]\cos\left(2\pi f_{c}t\right) = \\ 2p_{LO}p_{s}\alpha s(t)\left(\cos\left(2\pi\Delta ft + \phi\right) + \cos\left[2\pi(2f_{c})t + 2\pi\Delta ft + \phi\right]\right) \\ \text{Thus,} \\ i_{D}(t) &= 2p_{LO}p_{s}\alpha s(t)\cos\left(2\pi\Delta ft + \phi\right) + \text{noise} \end{split}$$





# Noise in CDLs

- Noise plays an important role in signal detection in lidars. There are many noise terms in the resultant signal,
  - DC (and IF offset) noise
  - Detector's shot noise
  - Thermal noise
  - Dark noise
- Negligible
- 1/f noise
- RIN noise
- Target speckle noise



Speckle noise

- Shot noise power is primarily a function of the LO power. It has a Gaussian distribution, why not Poisson?
- Interferometric noise is due to leakage in optical components such as circulator; it is not an issue in pulsed lidars but poses a problem in CW
- RIN noise is mainly due to output power fluctuations of the laser









Noise in pulsed CDLs can be more troublesome when compared to CW CDLs. This is due to the fact that less signal data/lower spectral resolution is available in pulsed CDLs. This is especially exacerbated in the event of diffused target.

**•**As a result, smarter signal processing algorithms are required to process the data.







# **Signal Modeling in Pulsed CDLs**



If we include the effect of Doppler, i.e., the particles are not stationary,

$$i(t) = k \int \int_0^{+\infty} s(t-t') \exp\left[j2\pi f'(t-t')\right] \sum_{l=1}^N \alpha_l \delta(t'-t_l) \delta(f'-f_l) dt' df'$$





Signal Modeling/Processing in Pulsed CDLs, Radar Vs. CDL



In radars, (phase) correlation is preserved from pulse to pulse (atmospheric correlation time is on the order of 10 ms for radar frequencies).

In pulsed CDLs, phase correlation is lost from pulse to pulse (atmospheric correlation time is on the order of a few micro seconds).





$$i(t) = k \int \int_0^{+\infty} s(t-t') \exp\left[j2\pi f'(t-t')\right] \sum_{l=1}^N \alpha_l \delta(t'-t_l) \delta(f'-f_l) dt' df'$$



Range Gate (Range Gate in pulsed CDLs in defined by the pulse length and truncation window)

$$i_{T}(t) = w(t - t_{c})i(t) \Rightarrow$$

$$P_{i_{T}}(f) = \mathbb{E}\left\{\left|I_{T}(f)\right|^{2}\right\} = k^{2}\sum_{l=1}^{N_{0}} \mathbb{E}\left\{\left|\alpha_{l}\right|^{2}\right\} \left[\left|W(f)\right|^{2} \otimes \left|G(f)\right|^{2} \otimes \mathbb{E}\left\{P_{T_{0}}(f)\right\}\right]$$

# Thus, the spectra is a convolution of the actual Doppler spectra, window function, and pulse spectra!





## **Practical considerations, pulse shape effect**



The same holds for the window function. Looks like having a very long window function can be beneficial (due to less spectral broadening). What's the catch?





## Practical considerations, target speckle







# Practical considerations, atmospheric turbulence





development • deployment • data services • discovery



Imagine a target speckle coherence radius  $\rho_s$  and turbulence coherence radius  $r_0$ , then



As a rule of thumb, the aperture diameter for normal (average) atmospheric conditions and measurement range is about 4 inches.





 $_{\odot}$  The signal after digitization is a time series which contains the information for all ranges. Thus, range gating needs to be performed.

 In other words, the signal associated with the desired signal is a windowed version of the original signal. As a result, the processed Doppler spectrum is,

$$P_{i_T}(f) \approx |W(f)|^2 \otimes |G(f)|^2 \otimes \mathbb{E}\left\{P_{T_0}(f)\right\}$$

 $\odot$  The effect of windowing as well as pulse shape is spectral broadening and leakage.

 $\odot$  Pulse shape design is a trade-off between range resolution and spectral broadening.

 $\odot$  The longer the pulse the lower the spectral broadening but a worse range resolution.

Most pulsed CDLs have a pulse length of 200-400 ns.





## Dual Pol. Image-reject homodyne, pulsed







# Polarization diversity pulsed CDL



A/D sampling freq.: 100 MHz velocity range (m/sec): [-40,40] (twice the range compared to the one with AOM)





# Dual Pol. Image-reject homodyne, pulsed







## **Polarization diversity circulator**

Although fiber-coupled and compact circulators are commercially available, their application in pulsed CDLs in very limited due to high pulse energies. The beam needs to be expanded before passing through optical components to prevent optical damages.







## Table of contents:

#### • <u>Reminder</u>

- Signal modeling, CW CDLs
- Direct detection vs. coherent detection
- image-reject coherent homodyne detection
- Spectral processing and lab prototype

## • <u>CW CDL, continued</u>

- Measurement field campaign
- Dual polarization (polarization diversity) CW CDL

### • Pulsed (long-range) CDLs

- Signal modeling
- Pulsed CDL vs. radar
- Signal processing in pulsed CDL
- Practical considerations
- Dual polarization pulsed CDL

## <u>Conclusion</u>





✓ Pulsed CDLs are capable of measurements over longer ranges.

✓ Due to the principle of operation, pulsed CDLs are similar to radars, however, optical frequencies pose new challenges, not seen in radars.

✓ When designing the pulsed CDLs, a few practical considerations need to be taken into careful consideration (e.g., aperture size, pulse shape, length, etc.).

✓ By benefiting from robust and cost effective fiber components, available through the optical communication market, traditionally-known-challenges become much easier; for instance, dual polarization pulsed CDLs.

✓ Among other things, fiber-based lidars remove the need for tedious beam alignments in the traditional open-space optics lidars.

✓ Until compact optical components become available, certain components, such as circulators, need to be built using open-space optics.



